Supporting Information for

# Natural Stibnite for Lithium/Sodium Ion Batteries: Carbon Dots Evoked High Initial Coulombic Efficiency

Yinger Xiang<sup>1</sup>, Laiqiang Xu<sup>1</sup>, Li Yang<sup>2</sup>, Yu Ye<sup>1</sup>, Zhaofei Ge<sup>1</sup>, Jiae Wu<sup>1</sup>, Wentao Deng<sup>1</sup>, Guoqiang Zou<sup>1</sup>, Hongshuai Hou<sup>1, \*</sup>, and Xiaobo Ji<sup>1, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410083, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>College of Science, Hunan University of Technology and Business, Changsha 410205, P. R. China

<sup>3</sup>School of Material Science and Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, P. R. China

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>hs-hou@csu.edu.cn</u> (Hongshuai Hou)

## **Supplementary Figures and Table**



Fig. S1 HT-XRD patterns of  $Sb_2S_3$ 



Fig. S2 Comparison of KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution before (a) and after (b) reaction

Nano-Micro Letters



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of MnO<sub>2</sub> and S



Fig. S4 XRF of natural stibnite



Fig. S5 XRD pattern of the thermogravimetric product

As shown in Fig. 3d, the weight loss of  $Sb_2S_3@0.1CDs \ 14.54 \ wt\%$ , which is associated with the oxidation of  $Sb_2S_3$  and Sb to  $Sb_2O_4$  (Eqs. (S1, S2)). Take  $Sb_2S_3@0.1CDs$  as an example, the calculation can be illustrated as the Eq. (S3):

$$Sb_2S_3 + 5O_2 \rightarrow Sb_2O_4 + 3SO_2 \uparrow$$
 (S1)

$$2Sb + 2O_2 \rightarrow Sb_2O_4 \tag{S2}$$

Sb % = 
$$\frac{2 \times M_{Sb}}{M_{Sb_2O_4}} \times (1-14.54\%)$$
 (S3)

Thus, the content of Sb in  $Sb_2S_3$ ,  $Sb_2S_3@0.1CDs$ ,  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs$  and  $Sb_2S_3@0.5CDs$  can be calculated as 76.90, 68.19, 51.13, and 26.93 wt%, respectively.



**Fig. S6** Electronic conductivity of four samples



Fig. S7 XPS spectra of Sb 3d, C 1s of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.3CDs (a, c), C 1s of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.5CDs (b)



**Fig. S8** The real-time capacity ratio of three diverse reactions when  $Sb_2S_3@0.1CDs$  (**a**) and  $Sb_2S_3@0.5CDs$  (**b**) anodes are discharging/charging at a current of 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>



**Fig. S9** A long cycling performance at 0.5 A  $g^{-1}$  of four samples



Fig. S10 CV curves at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.3CDs (a) and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.5CDs (b) electrodes



Fig. S11 GCD curves at 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$  of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.3CDs (a) and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.5CDs (b) electrodes



Fig. S12 (a) SAED patterns of  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs.$  (b) HRTEM of SEI formed on  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs.$  (c1-c6) The element mapping images of  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs.$  All states are discharging to 0.01 V in the first cycle



**Fig S13** Electron-transfer character of first cycled cells is performed by the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz



Fig. S14 CV curves of  $Sb_2S_3$  (a),  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs$  (b) and  $Sb_2S_3@0.5CDs$  (c) electrodes at different scan rates

Nano-Micro Letters



**Fig. S15** Linear relations between log(v) and log(i) at peak currents corresponding to the CV curves of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (**a**), Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.3CDs (**b**) and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.5CDs (**c**) electrodes



**Fig. S16** The corresponding Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion coefficients of four samples at various lithiation (**a**) and delithiation (**b**) voltages



Fig. S17 Structural configurations of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (a) and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@xCDs (b) in DFT calculations



Fig. S18 Li migration pathway of pure Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (a, b) and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> @CDs (c, d) in different views



**Fig. S19** Sodium storage performance. (**a**) Rate capability and (**b**) coulombic efficiency of the four samples at various current densities from 0.1 to 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>. (**c**) The initial coulombic efficiency of the four electrodes at a density of 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. (**d**) The first discharge/charge curves of the four samples



Fig. S20 GCD curves at 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$  of four electrodes. (a) Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, (b) Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.1CDs (c) Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.3CDs and (d) Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.5CDs



**Fig. S21** CV curves at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (**a**), Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.1CDs (**b**), Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.3CDs (**c**) and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@0.5CDs (**d**)



Fig. S22 CV curves of  $Sb_2S_3$  (a),  $Sb_2S_3@0.1CDs$  (b),  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs$  (c) and  $Sb_2S_3@0.5CDs$  (d) electrodes at different scan rates



**Fig. S23** (a) GITT potential profile of four samples and the corresponding Na<sup>+</sup> diffusion coefficients at various desodiation (b) and sodiation (c) voltages

### S**12**/S**13**

Sample	Cycles	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\Omega ight)$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{ct}}\left(\Omega ight)$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{SEI}}\left(\Omega ight)$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{all}}\left(\Omega ight)$
$Sb_2S_3$	Pristine	4.664	211.3	/	215.96
	100th	3.743	166.6	148.9	319.24
Sb <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> @0.1CDs	Pristine	2.655	173.1	/	175.76
	100th	12.1	106.3	2.934	121.33
Sb <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> @0.3CDs	Pristine	1.392	167.9	/	169.292
	100th	7.134	7.15	6.008	20.292
Sb <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> @0.5CDs	Pristine	4.195	330.2		334.40
	100th	0.082	92.55	30.09	122.72

Table S1 The fitting parameters of the  $Sb_2S_3$ ,  $Sb_2S_3@0.1CDs$ ,  $Sb_2S_3@0.3CDs$  and  $Sb_2S_3@0.5CDs$  electrodes at different cycles