Supporting Information for

## In-Situ Coupling Strategy for Anchoring Monodisperse Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub> Nanoparticles on S and N Dual-Doped Graphene as a Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Rechargeable Zn-Air Battery

Qi Shao<sup>1</sup>, Jiaqi Liu<sup>1</sup>, Qiong Wu<sup>1</sup>, Qiang Li<sup>1</sup>, Heng-guo Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yanhui Li<sup>1</sup>, Qian Duan<sup>1,\*</sup>

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun 130022, People's Republic of China

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: wanghengguo@cust.edu.cn (H.G. Wang), duanqian88@hotmail.com (Q. Duan)

Tel: +86-431-85583176

## S1 Experimental Method

### S1.1 Materials

All chemical reagents (including  $CoCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ , ethanol, KOH, methanol, polyvinyl alcohol) were purchased from reliable sources (Aladdin Industrial Co., Shanghai; Sinopharm Chem. reagent Co. Ltd, China; Sigma Andrich) and used as received. All the chemicals were analytical grade in purity. Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized by a modified Hummers Method [S1]. De-ionized water was obtained from an ultrapure purification system. The zinc foil (99.98% metal basis) was obtained from Alfa Aesar and the light emitting diode (LED) (5 mm size, ~3 V), LED bike lamp (45×20 mm, 4.2 V) and LED scroll displaying screen (93 mm×32 mm, 4.7 V) were obtained from the local supplier.

# S1.2 Synthesis of 5, 10, 15, 20-Tetrakis (4-sodiosulfophenyl)-21H, 23H-Porphyrin (TSPP)

5, 10, 15, 20-Tetraphenyl-21H, 23H-porphyrin (TPP) was synthesized following the Adlers Method [S2]. TPP (0.5 g, 0.8 mmol) was dissolved in  $H_2SO_4$  (17 mL, 0.32 mol) under reflux and heating conditions (120 °C) for 2h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into 300 mL deionized water and regulated the pH to 7-8 by using NaOH. Thereafter, the as-obtained solution was concentrated and filtered to remove the Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Subsequently, the resulted solution was added into methanol and filtrated for several times to remove the precipitated Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Finally, the crude compound was further purified by recrystallization from methanol and acetone for three times to obtain the purified TSPP.

## S1.3 Synthesis of Cobalt (II) 5, 10, 15, 20-tetrakis (4-sodiosulfophenyl)-21H, 23H-porphyrin (TSPPCo)

TSPP (0.2 g, 0.2 mmol) and CoCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 g, 1 mmol) were dissolved in 150 mL deionized water and heated to reflux for 4 h. After that, the mixture solution was concentrated and dissolved in methanol. At last, the resulted product was dried under vacuum for 12 h to obtain the TSPPCo.

### **S1.4 Characterization**

The microstructures of the nanomaterials were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM Hitachi S-4800) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) recorded on a Tecnai G2 operating at 200 kV. The crystal phases were evaluated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns recorded on a Rigaku-Dmax 2500 diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation. FTIR measurements performed on a Bruker IFS 66V/S spectrometer using KBr pellets. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis conducted with ESCALAB MK II X-ray instrument was used to analyze the composition of the nanomaterials. Raman spectra were collected with a Renishaw 2000 model confocal microscopy Raman spectrometer.

#### **S1.5 Electrochemical Measurements**

The recorded potentials versus SCE were converted to a RHE scale based on the Nernst equation ( $E_{RHE}=E_{SCE}+0.241+0.059$  pH). To prepare the working electrode, 5 mg of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700 was ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol (1 mL) with Nafion solution (50 µL) to generate a uniform ink. 10 µL of the catalyst slurry was dropped onto the surface of the electrode and then dried at the room temperature for the measurements of ORR/OER.

According to the LSV curves of ORR at the different potentials, the electron transfer number (n) was calculated according to the Koutecky-Levich (K-L) equations:

$$\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_D} + \frac{1}{J_K} = \frac{1}{B\omega^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{nFkC_{O_2}}$$
$$B = 0.62nFC_{O_2} (D_{O_2})^{2/3} v^{-1/6}$$

Where J is the measured current density using RDE, while  $J_D$  and  $J_K$  are the diffusionand kinetic-limiting current density, respectively. n is the number of transferredelectron per oxygen molecule and F is the Faraday constant (96,485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>). In addition,  $\omega$  reflects the rotation rate and k is the electron transfer rate constant. Meanwhile, B represents the slope of the following equation. Moreover,  $C_{O_2}$  is the bulk concentration ( $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> for 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution and  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> for 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution), while the  $D_{O_2}$  is the diffusion coefficient ( $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution and  $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for 0.1 M KOH solution). Besides, v is the kinetic viscosity of solution (0.01 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for both 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution and 0.1 M KOH solution).

## **S2** Supplemental Figures







Fig. S2 The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR image of TSPP



Fig. S3 UV/Vis absorption spectra of TSPP, TSPPCo and TSPPCo/GO



**Fig. S4 a** FTIR spectra of TPP, TSPP and TSPPCo. **b** FTIR spectra of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700 before and after carbonization



**Fig. S5** Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms of NSG-700, Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-600, and Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-800



Fig. S6 TG curve of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700 S4/S9



Fig. S7 SEM images of a Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-600 and b Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-800



Fig. S8 SEM image of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/C-700



Fig. S9 Particle size distribution of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700 S5/S9



**Fig. S10** LSV curves of **a** Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-600, Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700, Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-800 and **b** Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700-0.5, Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700, Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700-1.5



**Fig. S11 a** LSV curves of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-600 at different rotating rates. **b** K-L plots and the electron transfer number (insert) obtained from RDE results of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-600. **c** LSV curves of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-800 at different rotating rates. **d** K-L plots and the electron transfer number (insert) obtained from RDE results of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-800



Fig. S12 OER polarization curves of  $Co_9S_8/NSG$ -700 before and after a continuous 2000-cycle CV scan



Fig. S13 a SEM image and b TEM image of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700 after OER test



Fig. S14 High resolution spectra: a N 1s, b Co 2p and c S 2p of Co\_9S\_8/NSG-700 after the OER catalytic process



**Fig. S15 a** Open-circuit plots of assembled rechargeable Zn-air battery of Pt/C-RuO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. **b** Photograph of open-circuit potential

**Table S1** Elemental contents of C, O, N, S and Co in the Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>/NSG-700 before andafter OER test determined by XPS analysis

Catalyst	C (at%)	S (at%)	N (at%)	O (at%)	Co (at%)
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> /NSG-700 before OER	89.38	2.16	2.89	5.12	0.45
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> /NSG-700 after OER	72.2	2.07	3.57	21.65	0.51

Cotolysta	Loading (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	ORR		OER	$\Delta E$	
Catalysis		Eonset	$E_{1/2}$	$E_{j=10}$	(E <sub>j=10</sub> -	References
		(V)	(V)	(V)	$E_{1/2}$ ) (V)	
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> /NSG	0.25	0.92	0.79	1.61	0.82	This work
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> /NSPG	0.283		0.8	1.51	0.82	ACS Sustainable Chem.
						Eng. 2017, 5, 9848-9857
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> @NSCM	0.15	0.97	0.81	1.60	0.79	Nanoscale 2018, 10,
						2649-2657
Co-N-pCNs	0.25	0.96	0.80	1.63	0.83	ChemCatChem. 2017, 9,
						1601-1609
N-GCNT/FeCo	0.2	1.03	0.92	1.73	0.81	Adv. Energy Mater.
						2017, 7, 1602420
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /NPGC	0.2	0.97	0.84	1.68	0.84	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
						2016, 55, 4977-4982
NiCo/PFC	0.13	0.92	0.79	1.63	0.84	Nano Lett. 2016, 16,
						6516-6522
CoS <sub>x</sub> @PCN/rGO	0.408		0.78	1.57	0.79	Adv. Energy Mater.
						2018, 8, 1701642
CuCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> NSs	0.2	0.90	0.70	1.52	0.82	Nanoscale 2018, 10,
						6581-6588

Table S2 A survey of the catalytic performance of various bifuctional electrocatalysts

Notes: ORR and OER Data reported in these works are normalized into reversible hydrogen potential (RHE).

Catalysts	Loading (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Peak Power (mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Open circuit potential (V)	References
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> /NSG	1.0	72.4	1.42	This work
N-GRW	0.5	65	1.46	<b>Sci. Adv.</b> 2016, 2, e1501122
N-CN9	1.0	41	1.13	<b>Electrochim. Acta.</b> 2017, 247, 1044-1051
NPMC	0.5	55	1.48	<b>Nat. Nanotech.</b> 2015, 10, 444-452
S, N-Fe/N/C-CNT	1.25	102.7	1.35	<b>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</b> 2017, 56, 610-614
N8-VA-CNTs/GF	1.3	67	1.45	<b>J. Mater. Chem. A</b> 2017, 5, 2488-2495
c-CoMn <sub>2</sub> /C	2.0	79		<b>Nat. Commun.</b> 2015, 6, 7345
NiFeO@MnO <sub>x</sub>	0.25	81	1.32	<b>ACS Appl. Mater.</b> <b>Interfaces</b> 2017, 9, 8121-8133
Fe@C-NG/NCNT	1.0	101.3	1.37	<b>J. Mater. Chem. A</b> 2018, 6, 516-526

Table S3 A survey of the performance of Zn-air batteries with various electrocatalysts

### **S3 References**

[S1] W.S. Hummers, R.E. Offeman. Preparation of graphitic oxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **80**(6), 1339 (1958). https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01539a017

[S2] A.D. Adler, F.R. Longo, J.D. Finarelli, J. Goldmacher, J. Assour, L. Korsakoff. A simplified synthesis for meso-tetraphenylporphine. J. Org. Chem. **32**(2), 476 (1967). https://doi.org/10.1021/j001288a053