Supporting Information for

### **Boosting Chemodynamic Therapy by the Synergistic Effect of Co-Catalyze**

## and Photothermal Effect Triggered by the Second Near-Infrared Light

Songtao Zhang<sup>1, 2</sup>, Longhai Jin<sup>4</sup>, Jianhua Liu<sup>4</sup>, Yang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Tianqi Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Ying Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Na Yin<sup>1</sup>, Rui Niu<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoqing Li<sup>1</sup>, Dongzhi Xue<sup>1</sup>, Shuyan Song<sup>1</sup>, Yinghui Wang<sup>1, \*</sup>, Hongjie Zhang<sup>1, 2, 3, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry (CIAC), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Changchun, 130022, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, 130041, People's Republic of China

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>yhwang@ciac.ac.cn</u> (Yinghui Wang), <u>hongjie@ciac.ac.cn</u> (Hongjie Zhang)

#### S1 Measurement of Photothermal Conversion Efficiency of FeO/MoS2-BSA

#### Nanocomposites in 1064 nm Laser Irradiation

The photothermal conversion efficiency ( $\eta$ ) of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites is calculated by equations shown as follows [S1]:

$$\eta = [hS(T_{max} - T_{surr}) - Q_0] / [I(1 - 10^{-A1064})] \times 100\%$$
(S1)  

$$hS = (\Sigma miC_{p,i})/\tau_s$$
(S2)  

$$t = \tau_s \times (-ln\theta)$$
(S3)

$$\theta = (T - T_{surr})/(T_{max} - T_{surr})$$
(S4)

$$Q_0 = hS(T_{max, water} - T_{surr})$$
(S5)

Where h is the heat transfer coefficient, S is the sample container surface area,  $T_{max}$  is the steady state maximum temperature,  $T_{surr}$  is the ambient room temperature,  $Q_0$  is energy input of quartzsample cell and solvent without FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites, I is the laser power (0.75 W cm<sup>-2</sup>), and A1064 is the absorbance of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites at 1064 nm. The value of hS is calculated by Eq. S2, Where  $\tau_s$  is the characteristic thermal time constant, the mass concentration of the FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites solution was 200 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, and its heat capacity (Cp) was approximated to be 4.2 J g<sup>-1</sup> k<sup>-1</sup> (the heat capacity of water).The heat energy (Q<sub>0</sub>) of the quartzsample cell and solvent without FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA

nanocomposites solution was measured independently calculated by Eq. S5, Therefore according to Eqs. S3-S4, time constant ( $\tau_s$ ) is calculated to be =175.04 s obtained from linear-fitted plot of t vs –Ln $\theta$  (Fig. S11) after cooling. And based on the Eq. S2, the hs is calculated to be 4.8 mW °C<sup>-1</sup>. Then the  $\eta$  can be calculated to be 56% by equations mentioned above.

#### S2 Supplementary Figures and Table



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of (a)  $MoS_2$  nanosheets and (b) FeO nanoparticles and (c) FeO/ $MoS_2$ -BSA nanocomposites



Fig. S2 Particle size analysis chart of FeO nanoparticles



Fig. S3 Zeta potential of MoS<sub>2</sub>, FeO, FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>, BSA, and FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA



Fig. S4 SAED image of the FeO/MoS2-BSA nanocomposites



**Fig. S5** (a) XPS survey spectrum of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites. (b-d) Fe, Mo, S element XPS spectra, together with their corresponding fitting curves (the fitting curves were marked with the dash-dot lines)



**Fig. S6** Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) of as-prepared FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites and FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites

In Fig. S6, compared to the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites, the characteristic absorption peaks only appeared in the infrared absorption spectrum of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites at 1510, 1630, 3270, 2930, and 3430 cm<sup>-1</sup> represent the absorption peak of C-N, C=O, N-H of amide bond, CH<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub> respectively in BSA molecule, it indicated that the BSA molecule was modified on FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites successfully.



**Fig. S7** (**A**) The pictures and hydrodynamic diameter of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites dispersed in PBS and normal saline solution for 0 and 7 days. (**B**) TEM of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites dispersed in PBS and normal saline solution for 0 and 7 days (scale bar: 2  $\mu$ m)

| Solution      | Number | HD (nm) | <u>+</u> SD | PDI   |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|-------|
| PBS           | 1      | 234.6   | 77.6        | 0.087 |
|               | 2      | 222.8   | 59.4        | 0.062 |
|               | 3      | 224.0   | 62.0        | 0.084 |
| Normal saline | 1      | 247.1   | 84.8        | 0.113 |
|               | 2      | 245.3   | 75.6        | 0.098 |
|               | 3      | 245.6   | 88.5        | 0.147 |





**Fig. S8** UV-vis absorption spectra of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites, MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets and FeO nanoparticle



**Fig. S9** Photothermal heating curves of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites under the 1064 nm laser irradiation with different laser power



**Fig. S10 (a, b)** The temperature curves of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites solution (200  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) under the 1064 nm laser irradiation (0.75 w cm<sup>-2</sup>) with time



Fig. S11 Plot of cooling time after 10 min versus negative natural logarithm of driving force temperature (the linear fitted curves were marked with the red line with  $\tau_s = 175.04 \text{ s}$ )



Fig. S12 UV-vis absorption spectra of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA under 1064 nm laser irradiation (0.75 w cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 0 and 1 h



**Fig. S13** Fluorescence spectrums of p-phthalic acid (PTA) mixed with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA nanocomposites with time changed from 0 min to 12 min under the 1064 nm laser irradiation



Fig. S14 Cell viability of Hela cells with different concentration of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA (Data are means  $\pm$  SD; N = 3)



**Fig. S15** Irradiation-time-dependent temperature changes of tumor-bearing mice under 1064 nm irradiation with or without injection of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA



**Fig. S16** Blood analysis. (**a-h**) Hematology analysis detected by the complete blood after intravenous injection of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA at 30 d. (**i-p**) Blood biochemistry detection by blood serum after intravenous injection of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA at 30 d



Fig. S17 Quantification of MR signals in tumors after intravenous injection of FeO/MoS<sub>2</sub>-BSA for 24 h *in vivo* (\*\*P < 0.01)



**Fig. S18** Biodistributions of Mo atom in tumor and main organs at different time (1, 7, and 14 days)

# **Supplementary Reference**

[S1]J. Yu, W. Yin, X. Zheng, G. Tian, X. Zhang et al., Smart MoS<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotheranostic for magnetically targeted photothermal therapy guided by magnetic resonance/photoacoustic imaging. Theranostics 5(9), 931-945 (2015). https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.11802