### Nano-Micro Letters

Supporting Information for

# Nanoscale All-oxide-heterostructured Bio-inspired Optoresponsive Nociceptor

Mohammad Karbalaei Akbari<sup>1, 2,\*</sup>, Jie Hu<sup>3</sup>, Francis Verpoort<sup>4</sup>, Hongliang Lu<sup>5</sup>, Serge Zhuiykov<sup>1, 2, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Environmental & Energy Research, Ghent University Global Campus, Incheon, South Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Green Chemistry & Technology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>College of Information Engineering, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030024, People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Organometallics, Catalysis and Ordered Materials, State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, People's Republic of China

<sup>5</sup>School of Microelectronic, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>Serge.Zhuiykov@ugent.be (</u>Serge Zhuiykov); <u>Mohammad.akbari@ugent.be</u> (Mohammad Karbalaei Akbari)

# **Supplementary Figures**



**Fig. S1** The Bandgap measurement of samples. (**a**) The bandgap of as-deposited 20 and 5 nm gallium oxide. Inset shows highly transparent gallium oxide films over ITO substrate. (**b**) The bandgap of gallium oxide films after RTA and quenching in Ar atmosphere at different annealing temperatures. (**c**) The bandgap measurements of gallium oxide films after RTA and quenching in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere







**Fig. S3** Changes of valence band maximum (VBM) in as-deposited gallium oxide and RTA gallium oxide sample in Ar atmosphere



Fig. S4 O 1S XPS spectra of (a) as-deposited and RTA (N<sub>2</sub>) samples at (b) 450 °C and (c) 600 °C



Fig. S5 Ga 2P XPS spectra of as-deposited and RTA (N<sub>2</sub>) samples at 450 and 600 °C



**Fig. S6** The Raman spectra of RTA (N<sub>2</sub>) samples at 450 and 600 °C. Graph shows the decreased intensity of Ga-O attributed bonding and increased intensity of Ga-N bonding



**Fig. S7** Raman spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> RTA sample in Ar. Graph shows the decreased intensity of Ga-O attributed bonding and increased intensity of Ga-N bonding



**Fig. S8** (**a**) The graphical scheme of Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Au device accompanied by the (**b**) typical resistance variation of the same device in cyclic *I*-*V* curve. (**c**) The graphical scheme of Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ar-600S °C)/Au device accompanied by the (**d**) the typical resistance variation of the same device in cyclic *I*-*V* curves

#### Nano-Micro Letters

**Supplementary Note S1** The amorphous gallium oxide contains Ga excess. The amount of Ga excess is increased in the microstructure which is due to the nucleation of crystalline  $Ga_2O_3$  due to Ga rejection into remained amorphous structure. By increasing the Ga excess, the creation of a conductive channel of metallic gallium is facilitated which plays the role of a conductive bridge between Au electrode and TiO<sub>2</sub> film. Consequently the resistance of device decreased tangible as it can be compared from the Fig. S8b, d.



**Fig. S9** Typical logarithmic *I-V* curves of Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>- Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Au device after annealing in oxygen atmosphere



Fig. S10 The resistance variation in Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (N<sub>2</sub>-450 °C)/Au device in cyclic *I-V* measurements



Fig. S11 Band alignment in TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructured devices

# Supplementary Note S2 Band Alignment Calculation in TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Heterostructure

Based on Kraut's method, the valence band offset (VBO) can be extracted by Eq. S1 [S1, S2]:

$$\Delta E_{V} = \left( E_{Ga_{2}P}^{Ga_{2}O_{3}} - E_{VBM}^{Ga_{2}O_{3}} \right) - \left( E_{Ti_{2}P}^{TiO_{2}} - E_{VBM}^{TiO_{2}} \right) - \left( E_{Ga_{2}P}^{Ga_{2}O_{3}} - E_{Ti_{2}P}^{TiO_{2}} \right)$$
(S1)

In which  $E_{Ga_2P}^{Ga_2O_3}$  is core level (CL) spectra of Ga 2p,  $E_{VBM}^{Ga_2O_3}$  is the valence band maximum (VBM) of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,  $E_{Ti\,2P}^{TiO_2}$  is the CL of Ti 2P spectra,  $E_{VBM}^{TiO_2}$  is the VBM of TiO<sub>2</sub>. To calculate the VBM of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>, the XPS spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were used (Figs. S12-S15). To describe the integrated band offsets of TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ag) heterojunction, the corresponding energy difference between conduction bands can be calculated from Eq. S2 [S1, S2]:

$$\Delta E_{\rm C} = E_{\rm Bandgap}^{\rm Ga_2O_3} - E_{\rm Bandgap}^{\rm TiO_2} - \Delta E_{\rm V}$$
(S2)

Using the above equation and by employment of the XPS spectra of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ag) heterostructure, the band alignment diagram of TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructures can be calculated. The values of  $\Delta E_V$  and  $\Delta E_C$  for the TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ag) heterostructure are -1.95 and 3.57 eV, respectively. Based on bandgap measurements and band alignment calculation, the simplified band diagrams of TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructures are shown in Fig. S11, which show Type II heterointerfaces.



Fig. S12 VBM of the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> films by extrapolating XPS graphs



Fig. S13 VBM of the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ar-600 °C) and TiO<sub>2</sub> films by extrapolating XPS graphs



Fig. S14 VBM of the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (N<sub>2</sub>-450  $^{\circ}$ C) and TiO<sub>2</sub> films by extrapolating XPS graphs



Fig. S15 VBM of the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (N<sub>2</sub>-600 °C) and TiO<sub>2</sub> films by extrapolating XPS graphs



Fig. S16 The results of Hall-effect measurements of heterostructured films

## Supplementary Note S3-Calculation of Schottky Barrier Height

Barrier height of a metal–semiconductor contact can be experimentally measured and determined by using I-V curves. Considering that the current is due to thermionic emission, the relation between the applied forward bias and current can be expressed by Eq. (S3) [S3]:

$$J = J_0 \exp(\frac{qv}{nkt})$$
(S3)

Where *n* is ideally factor, T is the temperature in Kelvin, *q* is the electron charge, *k* is the Boltzmann constant and  $I_0$  is the reverse saturation current which can be extracted by extrapolation the straight line of lnI to intercept the axis at zero voltage. The Schottky barrier height (SBH) can be calculated by extrapolation of semi-logarithmic *J*-*V* curves to V=0. The SBH can be calculated from Eqs. (S4) and (S5):

$$\phi_{\rm B} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{T^2 A^*}{J_0}$$
(S4)  
$$A^* = \frac{4\pi m^* k^2}{h^3}$$
(S5)

#### Nano-Micro Letters

Where  $m^*$  is the effective electron mass, and h is the Planck's constant. The  $A^*$  is the effective Richardson constant<sup>4,5</sup> which is equal to 41.1 A cm<sup>-2</sup> K<sup>-2</sup>. The experimental *J*-*V* characteristics and the logarithmic scale of the same graphs are shown in Fig. S17. We used low forward bias of *J*-*V* curves to measure the SBH at Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> junctions. Taking the logarithmic version of Eq. S3, we can extract the *n* and  $I_0$  from the slop and Y axis of *LnJ*-*V* plot. After performing least square fitting on the *LnJ*-*V* plot in the linear region, the values of n and  $J_0$  from the slop and the Y-axis can be determined.



**Fig. S17 a** J- $V_{ds}$  characteristics of Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> device and **b** LnJ-V plot of the Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> junction (Schottky diode) at the 273 K



Fig. S18 Graphical scheme of memristor device for KPFM studies and Raman measurements of memristor behavior. The measurements were performed on  $TiO_2$  film



Fig. S19 Transmittance and bandgap of heterostructured TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> devices



**Fig. S20** Photoresponse of heterostructured ITO/TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ar- 600oC)/Au devices before and after threshold of light intensity



Fig. S21 Photoresponse of heterostructured ITO/TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(N<sub>2</sub>)/Au devices at constant light intensity of 25 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>

#### S10/S11



**Fig. S22** Photoresponse of heterostructured ITO/TiO<sub>2</sub>-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Au devices at different cyclic courses and under illumination of different light intensities



**Fig. S23** Proposed mechanism for optically generated charge transfer in  $TiO_2$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterointerfaces. (a) Under equilibrium, (b) charge photo generation under electric field and (c) photogenerated charge trapping and recombination under electric field. The photogenerated electrons are trapped at  $TiO_2$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterointerfaces under forward bias voltage. The increase of light intensity is accompanied by the generation of higher charge carriers. Thus, higher number of photogenerated charges are expected to be trapped at  $TiO_2$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterointerfaces.

## **Supplementary References**

- [S1] S.M. Sun, W.J. Liu, Y.P. Wang, Y.W. Huan, Q. Ma et al., Band alignment of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interface determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Appl. Phys. Lett. **113**, 031603 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5038615
- [S2] E.A. Kraut, R.W. Grant, J.R. Waldrop, S.P. Kowalczyk, Semiconductor core-level to valenceband maximum binding-energy differences: Precise determination by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. B 28, 1965 (1983). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.28.1965
- [S3] S. Gholami, M. Khakbaz, Measurement of I-V characteristics of a PtSi/p-Si Schottky barrier diode at low temperatures. WASET 57, 1001-1004 (2011).
- [S4] L.A.M. Lyle, Gallium Oxide Technology, Device and Applications, 1st edn. (EDs: S. Pearton, F. Ren, M. Mastro, Elsevier Science, 2019).
- [S5] K. Sasaki, M. Higashiwaki, A. Kuramata, T, Masui, S. Yamakoshi, Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky barrier diodes fabricated by using single-crystal β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (010) substrates. IEEE Electron Device Lett. **34**, 493 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1109/LED.2013.2244057

#### S11/S11